DCI/ICS 84-3004 9 February 1984

AH. ER83-0066/1 ER83-1437

MEMORANDUM FOR: Acting Director of Central Intelligence
25X1 FROM:

Director, Intelligence Community Staff

SUBJECT: Background on State Objections to Hostile Presence

Recommendations

- 1. To refresh your memory in reading the attached memorandum from Forest Singhoff, there are four recommendations to which State has objected, i.e., limitations on:
 - a. the number of Soviet personnel allowed into the United States;
 - b. freedom of travel by hostile country employees of the United Nations:
 - c. freedom of travel by non-Soviet Warsaw Pact personnel; and
 - d. expansion of the Chinese presence (e.g., 12,000 students plus trade missions, etc.).
- 2. Forest did not get into it in the attached memorandum, but part of the reason State is coming into this fray so late is due to an internal disconnect at State. Forest tried without success to get onto Hugh Montgomery's calendar as the 1983 assessment was being developed. He was shunted to a much lower level, but went ahead and laid the issues out for them. It seems that word did not reach Hugh until it was too late for a State input.
- 3. Wanted to get this into your hands before your meeting with Secretary Shultz tomorrow should the issue arise.

Vice Admiral, USN

Attachment: D/ICS-84-0771 dtd 7 Feb 84

25X1

B-203C

DCI EXEC Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/02/11 : CIA-RDP86M00886R000400030038-7 CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

D/ICS-84-0771 7 February 1984

	·	
MEMORANDUM FO	R: ADirector of Central Intelligence	
VIA:	Deputy Director of Central Intelligence Director, Intelligence Community Staff	
SUBJECT:	Meeting with State Departme <u>nt Offic</u> ials on Hostile Presence Recommendations	
of Intelligen Liaison, INR,	February 1984 I met with Bill Knepper, Deputy Director, Bureau ce and Research (INR), and Bill McAfee, Director of Intelligence Department of State, at their request, to discuss the hostile mmendations contained in our annual threat assessment.	
the hostile p that the matt noted that it position, i.e going to have assessment?" approach the accept the St	per explained that Hugh Montgomery questioned why we were raising resence issues again, as State Department was of the impression er was settled at the spring 1983 SIG-I meeting. He particularly was their belief that the DCI had supported Secretary Shultz's on action with respect to these issues. McAfee asked, "Are we this problem raised every year when you do your annual In this context, Knepper noted that Montgomery was reluctant to Secretary again with this problem. He asked why we could not sate Department suggestion that the hostile presence issue be studied by the IG/CI?"	
problem in the recommendation the IG/CI had recommendation Judge Clark of the hostil Webster's communication concerns and time." (emphapproved the issue, it was	plained that the hostile presence was identified as a continuing to 1983 assessment and that we could not ignore it in our tens, even though the issue had been addressed previously. Also, is previously studied the problem and submitted their tens to the SIG-I. Further, I noted that in the DCI's letter to concerning the SIG-I deliberations on the proposals on reduction to e presence, the DCI noted that, "While I recognize Judge apelling support for these proposals, I defer to Secretary Shultz' recommend that no action be taken on these proposals at this tessis added) In this respect, I pointed out that although the DCI recommendation that the SIG-I re-address the hostile presence and a sign that he was taking a position either pro or con-but the DCI believed the problem warranted another review.	
	pper asked if it would be possible to indicate State's position in lations. I noted that the recommendations were printed and	

CONFIDENTIAL

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/0	02/11 : CIA-RDP86M00886R000400030038-7

25X1

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

distributed. He then asked about sending out an errata sheet to the recommendations to indicate State's disagreement. I said I did not think that was feasible and pointed out that the best forum to address the problem would be in the SIG-I. Knepper subsequently advised me that Montgomery had decided to brief Secretary Shultz on the recommendations.	

2

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/02/11 : CIA-RDP86M00886R000400030038-7 UNCLASSIFIED

STAT	C/CCIS/ICS:dmf	
	0,0010,10010	

Distribution of D/ICS-84-0771:

0 - Addee (via DD/ICS, D/ICS, and DDCI)
1 - ICS Registry
1 - CCIS subject
1 - C/CCIS chrono

UNCLASSIFIED